(8) Whatever necessary, maintenance allowance should be sanctioned as per GO quoted against item No. 4 above.

(9) Other relief measures such as provision of immediate employment and wage employment wherever required shall be provided, if necessary, by taking special Community Development Schemes, as per GO quoted against Item No. 4.

Evasion of Central Excise Duty by M/s. I.T.C. Limited

1131. DR. BAPU KALDATE;
SHRIMATI KAMLA SINHA:
SHRI MOHAMMED AFZAL alias MEEM AFZAL:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Directorate General of Inspection under Central Board of Excise and Customs had delivered the judgement on 10th April, 1986 pertaining to various show cause notices for the evasion of Central Excise duty against M/s I.T.C. Limited and its various units;

(b) whether it is also a fact that Supreme Court had directed M/s. I.T.C. Limited to file an appeal against order of D.G.I. of 10th April, 1986 in spite of lapse of time;

(c) whether it is also a fact that Collector (Appeals) Allahabad directed M/s. I.T.C. Limited to deposit a sum of Rs. 20 crores before effective hearing of the appeal;

(d) if so, whether Government propose to review the order of Collector (Appeals), Allahabad or propose to file an appeal for the balance amount of Rs. 60.30 crores due from M|s. I.T.C. Limited, Saharanpur; and

(e) if the answer to part (d) above be in the negative the manner in which Government propose to recover from the company concerned the balance of Rs. 60.30 crores?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI RAMESHWAR THAKUR): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) No, Sir. Supreme Court did not direct M|s. I.T.C. Limited to file Appeal against D.G.I.'s impugned order while disposing of the SLP filed by M/s. I.T.C. Ltd. Based on D.G.I.'s Order, the jurisdictional Assistant Collector issued a demand notice to M|s. ITC Ltd. Against this demand notice M/s. ITC Ltd. filed a Writ Petition in the Allahabad High Court on the grounds that principles of natural justice had not been followed by the Department in issuing the demand notice. When Allahabad High Court dismissed the Writ Petition, M/s. ITC Ltd. filed an SLP in the Supreme Court. Supreme Court in its order dated 22-8-1990 observed that the ends of justice would be met if it permitted the petitioner to file a belated Appeal within one month from the date of Order with an application for condonation of delay whereon the appeal might be entertained. The Supreme Court further made it clear that all point which the petitioner could have urged against the assessment order passed by the Asstt. Collector (which was consequential to the order of D.G.I. dated 10-4-1986) had he filed an appeal instead of a Writ Petition should now be open to him. Accordingly M|s. ITC Ltd. filed Appeal against the impugned assessment order before Collector (Appeals).

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) and (e) Do not arise at this stage as the matter is sub-judice before Collector (Appeals), Allahabad.

Enhanced Interest Rate for existing Deposits

1132. SHRI DINESHBHAI TRIVEDI:
SHRI SHIV PRATAP MISHRA:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether upward revision of interest rate announced by the Re-